



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,758	07/01/2003	Arun Naidu	NET-008 US (7033292001)	*	
23639 7590 07/03/2006 BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HAROON, ADEEL		
18 FLOOR	JAKCADEKO CENTEK		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-4067		2618		
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,758	NAIDU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adeel Haroon	2618	

	Adeel Haroon	2010						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-41 and 44-61</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	,		nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 5/23/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the personal computer of Edwards does not receive inputs associated with a measured orientation of the antenna assembly. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Edwards discloses that "In a preferred embodiment, the radio position determining receiver is associated with a portable computer operable to process data relating to the desired height and orientation of the antenna, which computer has stored data relating to the absolute position of fixed wireless base stations in the area, whereby an antenna mount can be oriented with respect to the structure to which the antenna will be positioned" (Column 2, lines 44-52) thus teaching that it receives data relating to the measured orientation of the antenna assembly. Also, this personal computer is interpreted as a feedback device carried by an antenna since the personal computer is coupled/secured to the antenna in order to process data relating to the desired height and orientation of the antenna. Furthermore, Edwards teaches having stored data thus inherently having a memory unit having data inputted based on positional information of a base station.

6-25-2006

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER